

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/673,166	04/04/2001	Frederique Ahne Le Gal	102.174	2214
20311	7590 08/31/2005		EXAMINER	
LUCAS & M	IERCANTI, LLP		DIBRINO, MAI	RIANNE NMN
475 PARK AV	/ENUE SOUTH		<u> </u>	
15TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NY 10016		1644	

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del>,</del>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
./ Advisory Action	09/673,166	LE GAL ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DiBrino Marianne	1644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folioplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)</li> </ol>	on the same day as filing a Notice of pwing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in pliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replif the final rejection.  In it is in the final rejection of (2) the date set forth in the land SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 ( y must be filed within e final rejection, whicheve f the final rejection.	ence, which CFR 41.31; or n one of the er is later. In no
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1	7).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the is after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, materials	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)) be filed within the time period set fo	), to avoid dismissal on orth in 37 CFR 41.37(	of the appeal. a).
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ensideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
<ul> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s)</li> </ul>	): See Continuation Sheet.		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	illowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: proposed claims 23, 30, 35-38 and 43. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 28,29,31,39 and	vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<u> - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - </u>		
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	vit or other evidence is	s necessary
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>			nce because:
<ul> <li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13.  Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
M.D. 8/2010	5		

KC

3

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112, second paragraph rejection of record at item #9b, 9c and 9d.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The articles submitted by Applicant in response to the 112, 1st paragraph enablement rejection of record have not been submitted on a 1449 and they have not been considered by the Examiner; the 103(a) rejections of record stand for the reasons of record (the recitation of a Gly Arg or Arg Gly Arg linker between lipid moiety and epitopes is in the alternative to other combinations and spacer molecules that precede the recitation), and the double patenting rejections of record stand because Applicant's TD has been disapproved because the % of interest is not specified.

Continuation of 13. Other: The objection of record at item #4b to the disclosure has not been corrected, i.e., the tradmarks have not been capitalized.

CHRISTINA CHAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600